	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/666,998	LAIKHTER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARK STAPLES	1627	
	MARK STAPLES	1637	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeal All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIOF of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commits (IGHTS). This application is	n this application. If not included unication will be mailed in due course. THIS	i tive
1. This communication is responsive to <u>01/14/2010</u> .			
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 51-58 and 61.			
 3. ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of the: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have 	e been received.	•	
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority do	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cullents have been receive	d in this hational stage application from the	
* Certified copies not received:			
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE.		e a reply complying with the requirements	
4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give			
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus	st be submitted.		
(a) 🔲 including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers	son's Patent Drawing Revie	w (PTO-948) attached	
1) 🔲 hereto or 2) 🔲 to Paper No./Mail Date			
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date	s Amendment / Comment o	r in the Office action of	
Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in t			
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5 ☐ Notice of Ir	formal Patent Application	
Notice of Preferences Gled (170-032) Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413),	
	Paper No.	/Mail Date <u>01/06/2010</u> . Amendment/Comment	
 Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date <u>12/14/2009</u> 			
4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material		Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
/Mark Observer	9.	<u></u>	
/Mark Staples/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637 01/15/2010			



Application No.

Art Unit: 1637

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/11/2009 has been entered.
- 2. Applicant's supplemental submission filed on 01/14/2010 has been entered.
- 3. Applicant's amendment of claims 51 and 53 in the paper filed on 01/14/2010 is acknowledged.

Claims 51-58 and 61 are pending and at issue.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Rejections that are Withdrawn

Claim Rejections Withdrawn - 35 USC § 102(b)

4. The rejection of claims 51-60 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Batz et al. (United States Patent No. 6,117,973 issued September 12, 2000) is withdrawn. Applicant's arguments are persuasive in view of the claim amendments.

Art Unit: 1637

Claim Rejections Withdrawn - 35 USC § 103(a)

5. The rejection of claim 61 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Batz et al. as applied to claim 37 above, and further in view of Jenne et al. (United States Patent No. 6,451,535 issued September 17, 2002) is withdrawn. Applicant's arguments are persuasive in view of the claim amendments.

Post Filing Art

6. Examiner agrees with Applicant that the publication of May et al. (2003, copy provided with this action) is post filing art, as conveyed by Editor Roger Epton to and as noted by Applicants (see pp. 4 and 5 of Remarks filed 01/14/2010). An independent and extensive search by the Office did not find any prior publication of May et al. relevant to the instant claims. It is noted that the Office found a text file (provided with this action as 2010 retrieved Conference Proceeding) confirming that the publication of May et al. (2003) was associated with the 7th International Symposium held in Southampton, United Kingdom during Sept. 18-22, 2001 (see printout of 7th International Symposium, held 2001). However, no printed publication by May et al. was found prior to 2003. The text file also shows a date of 2002 but the evidence shows this date refers to the collection of papers and not to their publication. See publication date of 2003 by Mayflower Worldwide Limited on sequential page 2 of May et al. (2003). Also note the in the Preface that Editor Epton states: "... many of the invited and contributed lectures were written up and are published herein..."

Art Unit: 1637

(emphasis by Examiner). This is further evidence that the article by May et al. (2003) was first published in 2003.

New Claims Allowed

7. Claims 51-58 and 61 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance. No prior art was found which teaches or fairly suggests methods of detecting target nucleic acid sequences with a pair of: (1) a quencher which is an α -aminoanthraquinone and (2) a fluorophore, through reduction of fluorescence energy transfer or through reduction of ground state quenching. The closest prior art found was Batz et al. (2000) who teach α -aminoanthraquinones but who do not teach or fairly suggest quenchers which are α -aminoanthraquinones, as claimed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

- 8. Claims 51-58 and 61 are allowed.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark Staples whose telephone number is (571) 272-

Art Unit: 1637

9053. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Benzion can be reached on (571) 272-0782. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mark Staples/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1637 January 15, 2010